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Introduction

This document proposes best practices for versioning WSDL and XML Schema documents. It covers major and minor update concepts, recommendations for versioning these artifacts, and the impact of doing so.

Major/Minor Updates

The term major update is reserved for updates that break existing service consumers – i.e. clients, or invalidates previously shared (and consumed) documents. The term minor update is reserved for backward-compatible updates of an interface which does not break existing clients or existing documents such that they remain valid if continuing to use a minor update to an existing document.

XML Schema Major Updates

Updates to an XML Schema document are considered to result in a major update if:

- There are changes to the type of a local element
- There are changes to a local element or an element reference requiring that it become mandatory (i.e. changing the definition from optional to required).
- Adding or removing an enumeration value
- Renaming or removing a global type or element
- Changing the type of a global element
- Updates are made to the appInfo element’s content
- Changes are made to the elementFormDefault attribute value

XML Schema Minor Updates

The following updates to an XML Schema document are considered to result in a minor update if:

- There are changes to a local element or element reference making it optional (i.e. changing from the definition from required to optional).
- Adding a global element or type
- Adding documentation or comments
WSDL Major Updates
The following updates to a WSDL document are considered to result in a major update if:

- Updates are made to existing binding, service or portType definitions
  - Adding a binding, a port (Type) or an operation however does not result in a major update.
- Updates are made to a message element with a reference to a schema type that is not derived as an extension of the original
- Any element declared in the WSDL (message, message part, portType, binding or service) is removed

Major updates MAY be backwards-incompatible for existing clients.

WSDL Minor Updates
The following updates to a WSDL document are considered to result in a minor update if:

- A new operation, possibly with message and message parts definitions is added
- A new portType, binding or a service to a WSDL is added
- A new, optional, behavior is added without changing the message signatures or types
- Updating a message element with a reference to a schema type that is derived as an extension of the original

If a major update is made to a schema referenced by a WSDL document, the WSDL will be isolated from the update if and only if a specific schema document version is referenced from the WSDL. Under such condition no changes are required to the WSDL major or minor version identifiers. If this is not the case, the major version identifier needs to be updated.

Minor updates MUST be backwards-compatible for existing clients.

Document Revisions
A revision is an update to a document which implies no semantic changes to its meaning, e.g. an update in a white space, formatting, non-normative documentation, comments etc. Incremental development before publishing of the document can be also seen as revisions of the same (e.g. 1.0) version. A revision to a previously published document MUST NOT impact the functionality of either clients or service endpoint’s implementer.
Individual revisions of a document in a source control system represent revisions in this sense unless they are classified as major or minor updates (per above). The initial development of a service, before it is published for production use, or internal incremental development can also be construed as revisions.

**Adding, Removing or Updating a Local Element**

Adding an element implies an update to the content model of the element’s parent. As the element’s name is fixed it cannot be substituted and changed, the only viable alternative is to update its definition type and the content model.

---

**Versioning**

If a major update to a schema or its part occurs, the updated entities **MUST** be published in a new namespace to avoid conflicts with existing usages of the schema. Note that unchanged entities **MAY** remain in their old namespace; guidelines are provided below.

Likewise, if a major update in a WSDL occurs the update **MUST** be published in a different namespace, so that existing clients are not affected. A major update **MAY** be classified as **backwards-incompatible** if there is no intention to support old clients.

**Schema Versions**

XML Schema allows specifying an (optional) attribute of the `xs:schema` element’s `version` attribute. The content model permits Dewey notation of major.minor version number. Consistent usage of the version number is encouraged. Major updates in the schema **SHOULD** be reflected by an update in the schema namespace **AND** the version attribute.

The first published version of a document **MAY** contain version information in its namespace; namespaces for subsequently published modifications **MUST** contain the version information.

**WSDL Versions**

There is no element or attribute to carry version information defined by W3C for WSDLs. The only means of versioning a Web Service is to publish its WSDLs at different URIs, giving each a different namespace.
Resource locations

As new versions of a document (XSD, WSDL) are published, the original or prior version should remain available and accessible for older clients which are bound to it. The version or published date information SHOULD be a part of the resource URI under which the resource is published.

The first published version of the resource MAY contain version information in its URI; URIs for subsequently published modifications MUST contain the version information.

Impact of Revisions

During development all updates to resources are permitted without any constraint. After the document is published, a version and change management policy SHOULD be applied to it.

Schema Revisions

Major updates to a schema MUST be published in a separate namespace. Minor updates MAY be incorporated to the original namespace. The new version of the XML Schema document MUST be published as a separate resource using a versioned URI.

The Schema publisher MAY choose, considering the impact on clients, to handle a minor update as a major update - especially when independent service implementers are expected.

Updates that require a new namespace SHOULD be published in the following manner:

New Schema Version

In the case where a new schema is created, all definitions in the XML schema will be published using a new versioned namespace with the goal of isolating older clients from any updates made to the definitions. Old clients will not be able to use a Web Service that references and uses exclusively the new schema version\(^1\). This approach is to be used for major updates to the XML Schema.

---

\(^1\) This approach is idealistic and not a necessarily the way to manage change in an SOA environment. Understanding dependencies between consumers and producers of service and their related document artifacts is required.
Incremental (delta) Schema Updates

If a local element's type is updated, the update SHOULD be published as a new schema document that imports the original one (reusing the definitions that do not change). The incremental schema will then contain only the updated definition and will use a new versioned namespace. The delta schema MAY leverage XML Schema inheritance and imports from the original version, or previous deltas to reduce the number of definitions. Clients of incrementally updated schema documents will be able to utilize the extended or updated semantics without impacting clients of the original schema who will not be affected by such an update.

Major updates MAY be published as delta schemas. When a new version (i.e. complete document) of the schema documents is published, it MUST incorporate all deltas from the previously published versions of the schema document.

WSDL Revisions

Major updates MUST be published in a separate namespace. Minor updates may be incorporated into the original namespace. The new version of a WSDL document MUST be published as a separate resource, using a versioned URI.

Major updates MAY be classified as backward incompatible. Minor updates MUST be published in a backward compatible manner.

Backwards-compatible Versions

Updates and definition additions MUST be published using a new port type element (i.e. wsdl:portType) whose local name is the same as specified as the original wsdl:portType's and uses a versioned URI as its namespace URI. The WSDL document SHOULD import the previous document version using the import directive for the previous document's namespace in order to reuse old definitions where appropriate.

The service MUST contain an additional port for the new portType, declared in the new versioned namespace that uses the same local name as the original port. The service MUST support all previous versions’ ports in order to preserve backward compatibility for callers.

The ports that correspond to different versions of the same business service MUST be located at the same endpoint. The implementer should
be able to discern the specific service behavior requested by the client using the SOAPAction's qualified name.

**Backwards-incompatible Versions**

A new version of the WSDL **MUST** be published using a versioned namespace URI. All definitions (portTypes, bindings, operations, messages) should be copied to the new WSDL version rather than imported. Service definitions **SHOULD NOT** contain ports with a namespace set to the previous versions of the WSDL resource.

The backwards-incompatible method of creating a revision obviously breaks existing clients, as they need to adapt to new qualified names. If several backwards-compatible versions of WSDL are created, the resulting definition will use several namespaces, whose content follows the course of a service's development. The increased "clutter" of the service definition into distinct namespaces may become sufficient to motivate a major, backwards-incompatible version.

---
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